APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT SCREENING REPORT AND CONCLUSION STATEMENT

| | (A) Project Details | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | | | | |
| Planning File Ref Part | | Part VIII | /111 | | |
| Applicant name Laois | | Laois Cou | County Council | | |
| De | velopment Location | Iopment LocationBracklone Street to Canal Road (via St Brigid's Square and Arlington Estate), Portarlington | | | |
| Site size 0.84 | | 0.84 ha | 4 ha | | |
| Application f accompanied by an EIS (Yes/No) | | No | | | |
| 2000 site in km the R | | the River | e site is located approximately 0.5km southwest of e River Barrow And River Nore Special Area of onservation | | |
| The proposed development will include footways and cycle-tracks, where pedestrians and cyclists are segregated from one another, or shared surfaces, where pedestrians and cyclists share the same facility. (B) Identification of Natura 2000 sites which may be impacted by the | | | | | |
| (B) | Identification of Natu | lists share t | ne same facility. | , or shared surfaces, | |
| (B) | | lists share t | ne same facility. | , or shared surfaces, | |
| (B) | Identification of Natu | lists share t | ne same facility. | , or shared surfaces, | |

| 2 | Impacts on sites designated for wetland habitats - bogs, fens, marshes and heath. <u>Sites to consider</u> : River Barrow And River Nore SAC Ballyprior Grassland SAC | <i>Is the development</i> <i>within a Special Area of</i> <i>Conservation whose</i> <i>qualifying interests</i> <i>include wetland habitats</i> <i>(bog, marsh, fen or</i> <i>heath)</i> | Νο |
|---|--|--|----|
| 3 | Impacts on designated terrestrial habitats. Sites to consider: River Barrow And River Nore SAC Ballyprior Grassland SAC River Nore SPA | <i>Is the development within a Special Area of Conservation whose qualifying interests include woodlands, dunes or grasslands, or within 100m of same?</i> | Νο |
| 4 | Impacts on birds in SPAs Sites to consider: River Nore SPA | <i>Is the development within a Special Protection Area?</i> | Νο |

Conclusion:

If the answer to all of the above is **No**, significant impacts can be ruled out for habitats and bird species.

No further assessment in relation to habitats or birds is required. If the answer is **Yes** refer to the relevant sections of **C**.

| | (G) SCREENING CONCLUSION STATEMENT Selected relevant category for project assessed by ticking box. | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | AA is not required because the project is directly connected with/necessary to the conservation management of the site | | | | |
| 2 | No potential significant affects/AA is not required | X | | | |
| 3 | Significant effects are certain, likely or uncertain. Seek a Natura Impact Statement Reject proposal. (Reject if potentially damaging/inappropriate) | | | | |

Justify why it falls into relevant category above (based on information in above tables)

Based on the distance and lack of pathways, the proposed works will not impact on any designated sites.

Based on the above it is considered that there is no need to proceed to a stage 2 NIS.

The Planning Authority concludes that the works proposed, individually or in combination with other plans and projects, will not have a significant effect on any European Site.

| Name: | Olive O'Donnell |
|-----------|-------------------|
| Position: | Executive Planner |
| Date: | 20/10/2023 |